Appendix C Contextual Indicators

Table 1 Performance against ENA targets/assumptions

ENA target/presumption/ measure	tion/ measure Indicator		Latest data at Sept 2018	RAG rating	
GENERAL			-		
Lincoln job growth target used as basis for establishing Central Lincolnshire Local Plan Economic needs.	*Nomis Total jobs includes employees, self-employed, government-supported trainees and HM Forces 2012 baseline. Latest 2016	*58,000 (Lincoln)	*64,000 Lincoln 10% increase	On target	
7% job growth target for Lincoln 2012-2036	** Nomis Total Employee jobs excludes self-employee government-supported trainees and HM Forces. Data excludes farm-based agriculture 2015 baseline Latest 2016		**55,000 Lincoln		
Central Lincolnshire growth target used as basis for establishing Central Lincolnshire Local Plan Economic needs.	Central Lincolnshire employed, government-supported trainees and HM		*132,000 (CL)		
Employment/jobs growth	Latest 2016	**116,000 (CL)	**117,000 (CL)		
11% job growth target 2012-2036	** Nomis total No. of Employee jobs excludes self- employed, government-supported trainees and HM Forces. Data excludes farm-based agriculture Baseline 2015 Latest 2016				
Population Projection presumption 2017 circa 97,000	Nomis; total number of residents ONS Population estimates 2012 baseline. Latest 2017	95600	98400	In line with presumption	
LABOUR MARKET					
Employment Rate ENA identified Lincoln had higher than average employment rate across CL and exceeded the LEP average This baseline was deemed acceptable.	*Nomis; % of those aged 16-64 economically active Baseline April 12-March 2013. Latest April 17-March 18	*77.5.% (Lincoln) *76.0% (CL) *77.5% (GL)	*74.6% (Lincoln) *76.8% (CL) *78.6% (GL)	Negative change to ENA baseline	

Unemployment Rate ENA identified Lincoln had lowest rate in CL and was below the LEP average This baseline was deemed acceptable.	*Nomis : No. of Unemployment benefit claimants as a proportion of resident population of the same age Baseline April 2012 Latest August 2018	*5.1% (Lincoln) *5.8% (CL) *6.7% (GLEP)	*3% (Lincoln) *2.06% (CL) *2.4% (GL)	Positive Change to ENA baseline	
Inactivity Rate ENA identified this figure as lower than CL and LEP average RAG rated green This baseline was deemed acceptable.	those economically inactive. Baseline April 12-April 13		25.4% (Lincoln) 23.16% (CL) 21.4% (GL)	Negative change to 2012 baseline	
Skill levels ENA identified a skills profile below CL and national averages and high proportion of residents with low level qualifications. This baseline was deemed unacceptable and in need of improvement.	NVQ L2+ Nomis; Proportion of resident population of area aged 16-64 qualifications with NVQ L2 and above Baseline Jan 12-Dec 13 Latest Jan 17-Dec 17	68.9% (Lincoln) 71.9% (CL) 68.7% (GL) 71.8 (GB)	69.4% (Lincoln) 71.5% (CL) 66.7% (GL) 74.7% (GB)	2012 Baseline improving	
·	NVQ L4+ Nomis; Proportion of resident population of area aged 16-64 qualifications with NVQ L4 and above Baseline jan 12-Dec13 Latest Jan 17-Dec 17	25.5% (Lincoln) 29.8% (CL) 25.1% (GL) 34.21% (GB)	32.9% (Lincoln) 33.26% (CL) 27.2% (GL) 38.6% (GB)	2012 Baseline improving	
ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE					
Jobs Density ENA identified Lincoln as having significantly higher employment density that Cl and GLEP This baseline was deemed acceptable.	*Nomis : Job density as the ratio of total jobs to population aged 16-64. Baseline 2012 Latest 2016	0.89 (Lincoln) 0.70 (CL) 0.72 (GLEP)	0.97 (Lincoln) 0.75 (CL) 0.77 (GLEP)	2012 Baseline maintained	
Business density ENA identified that Lincoln had the low business density significantly below that of CI and the GLEP. This was linked to high levels of business deaths and low survival rates. This baseline was deemed unacceptable and in need of improvement	*Annual business register survey; Number of businesses (enterprises) per 1,000 working age people Baseline 2012. Latest 2016 ** Annual business register survey Enterprises created in 2011 (that survived until 2014) *** Annual business register survey Enterprises created in 2013 (that survived until 2016)	*38 (Lincoln) *52 (CL) *53 (GL) ** 54% (*135) Lincoln)	*43 (Lincoln) *55 (CL) *59 (GL) *** 55.8% (265 (Lincoln)	2012 Baseline improving	

Highly skilled occupations ENA identified Lincoln had acceptable representation of highly skilled occupations in comparison with CL and the GLEP.	*Nomis: Sco 2010 Major group 1-3. 1 Managers, directors and senior officials 2 Professional occupations 3 Associate professional & technical Highest skilled employment occupations) as a percentage of all persons	* 33.8 (Lincoln) 39.1% (CL) 33.55 (GL)	*37.5% (Lincoln) 39.5 % (CL) 37.3% (GL)	2012 Baseline situation maintained
This baseline was deemed acceptable.	in employment ** Nomis: Sco 2010 major group 4-5. 4 Administrative & secretarial 5 Skilled trades occupations Baseline April 2012 to March 2013 Latest April 2017-March 2018	**11% (Lincoln) 16.5% (CL) 21.3 % (GL)	** 23.1% (Lincoln) 24.8% (CL) 21.6% (GL)	
ENA identified that Lincoln had higher than resident based earnings (£20,635 pa) And that these exceeded those of CL and GLEP, although both workplace and resident earnings are lower than national average. This baseline was deemed acceptable.	Average Annual Workplace Earnings Nomis Gros weekly full time pay Median earnings in pounds for employees working in the area. baseline 2012 (GLEP 2014) current 2017	£478.4 (Lincoln) £447.1 (CL) £467.8 (GLEP) £508.9(Nat)	£498.8 (Lincoln) £492.6 (CL) £473.9 (GLEP) £552.9 (NAT)	2012 Baseline situation maintained

Appendix C Contextual Indicators

Table 2 Performance against ENA Sector job losses and gains predictions

	ENA identified target/presumption/ measure/notes	ENA forecast job	*Nom	is 2015				RAG rating
	,	change for plan period 2012-2036	Actual *Nomis 2016 jobs(baseline) Actual jobs		Actual job change 2015 to 2016			
		No	%	Í	-	No	%	
A Agriculture, forestry and fishing		-9	-5%					
B : Mining and quarrying		-6	-50	10	0	-10	-100%	losses are greater than predicted
C : Manufacturing		-880	25%	4500	4000	-500	-11%	below the predicted loss rate
D : Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply		-8	23%	40	40	0	0%	below the predicted loss rate
E : Water supply; sewerage, waste management				-				below the predicted loss rate
and remediation activities F: Construction		-43	-4% 15%	1000 2000	1000 2000	0	0%	No growth as forecasted
G: Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles	Identified as a main employment sector 20%	801	10%	10000	10000	0	0%	No growth as forecasted
H : Transportation and storage	Sector 20%	-48	-4%	1250	1250	0	0%	below the predicted loss rate
I : Accommodation and food service activities	Identified as a main employment sector 7%	279	12%	4000	5000	1000	25%	greater growth than forecast
J : Information and communication	identified key future job growth sector	328	29%	1500	1250	-250	-17%	decrease against a forecast increase
K : Financial and insurance activities	Identified as a main employment sector 16%	-134	16%	900	500	-400	-44%	losses are greater than predicted
L : Real estate activities	identified key future job growth	143	41%	500	450	-50	-10%	decrease against a forecast increase
	sector identified key future job growth							Slower growth than forecast
M : Professional, scientific and technical activities N : Administrative and support service activities	sector	1,202 -182	41% -5%	2500 5000	3000 5000	500	20%	below the predicted loss rate
O : Public administration and defence; compulsory	Identified as a main employment sector 37%		17%	3500		0	0%	below the predicted loss rate
social security P: Education	Sector 37%	-498 99	2%	6000	3500 6000	0	0%	below the predicted loss rate
Q : Human health and social work activities		1208	15%	10000	10000	0	0%	No growth as forecasted
R : Arts, entertainment and recreation		228	34%	1000	1250	250	25%	Slower growth than forecast
S : Other service activities	identified key future job growth sector	377	23%	1250	1000		0%	decrease against a forecast increase
	ENA forecast job growth to be a net gain of 3162 new jobs over 24							showing greater growth than forecast
TOTAL	years, equivalent to 132 jobs pa	3162	7%	54950	55240	290	10%	

^{*} Employee jobs excludes self-employed, government-supported trainees and HM Forces Data excludes farm-based agriculture